

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/625,532 | 07/24/2003 | Hideki Naruoka | 240626US2 | 8156 |
| 22850 7 | 590 07/13/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | DESTA, ELIAS | |
| ALEXANDRIA | | • | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2857 | |
| DATE MAILED: 07/13/200 | | | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/625,532 | NARUOKA, HIDEKI | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Elias Desta | 2857 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | <u> </u> | | Idress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Market period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the | · |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | | | _ |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper rep | ly, to the non- |
| (d) No reply has been received. | • | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) | | the statutory period | d of three months |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | s received on (with a Certificate for payment of the issue fee (ar | ate of Mailing or Trans depth of the state of Mailing or Trans depth of the state o | ransmission dated set in the Notice of |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$_ | · |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | • |
| 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the No | otice of |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | • | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire | interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres | sentative capacity u | nder 37 CFR |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | se the period for se | eking court review |
| 7. 🖾 The reason(s) below: | | | |
| Mr. James D. Hamilton (attorney for the applicant) of 18, 2004 has been filed. | SUPE | MARC S. HOFF ERVISORY PATENT EX | AMINER |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | · | CHNOLOGY CENTER | |
| minimize any negative effects on patent term. | | | |